UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,547	04/01/2004	Christa Wohriska	Ruff Case 28	9649
	7590 04/11/200 L BOUTELL & TANIS	EXAMINER		
2026 RAMBLING ROAD			NGUYEN, DINH Q	
KALAMAZOO, MI 49008-1631			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3752	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/11/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
·	10/815,547	WOHRISKA, CHRISTA			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Dinh Q. Nguyen	3752			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim Till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	L. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 De	ecember 2006	•			
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 2-11 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-11</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers	•				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
•		•			
	,				
Attachment(s)	_				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	atent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4115 06 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/815,547

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 2-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language, failing to conform to current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document. The claims appear to be a list of the elements of the invention without a clear explanation of each element's placement. The structure that goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. Applicant is advised to completely review the claims for errors. For example: in claim 4 the expression "the inner component part is designed as a plastic part, and is adjusted as a fill body in such a manner to the annular outer component part" is not clearly understood by the Examiner, what is adjusting in the inner component part for a fill body, and what is a fill body? The limitation "the annular component part" lacks antecedent basis, and this limitation is again claimed in claim 3.

3. For the purpose of this Office action, the claims will be examined as best understood by the examiner.

Application/Control Number: 10/815,547

Art Unit: 3752

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 2-5, 7, 8, 10, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Focht (U.S. Patent no. 3,652,018) as best understood by the Examiner.

Focht discloses a dispensing head comprising: an annular outer component part 10 having at least one nozzle 2, a swirler flow guiding system 14 placed in front of the nozzle 2 (see figure 1); an inner component part 20 with a flow channel 25/26, the two component parts formed an annular channel 27 (see figures 1 and 2), wherein the annular channel 27 is part of the flow channel 25/26 (see figure 3), and the two component parts are molded material such as plastic (see column 1, line 75).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 6 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Focht in view of Clevenger (U.S. Patent no. 3,500,761).

Focht teaches all the limitations of the claims except for axially locking means and a protective cover. However, Clevenger discloses axially locking means between

Application/Control Number: 10/815,547

Art Unit: 3752

outer component part 24 and an inner component part 22 (near the top of figure 1), a protective cover 72. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have provided the device of Focht with axially locking means and a protective cover as suggested by Clevenger. Doing so would provide an effective and safe dispensing head.

Response to Arguments

- 8. Applicant's arguments filed 12/26/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2-11 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3752

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dinh Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-4907. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on 571-272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Dinh Q Nguyễn Primary Examiner Art Unit 3752

dqn